



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/929,211 08/14/2001	Lan V. Nguyen	Lan V. Nguyen SC11824TS 2144		
23125 7590 08/09/2003		EXAM	INER	
FREESCALE SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.		BLOUNT,	BLOUNT, STEVEN	
LAW DEPARTMENT				
7700 WEST PARMER LANE MD:TX32/PL02		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78729		2661	2661	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/929,211	NGUYEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven Blount	2661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 August 2001.					
a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1 - 17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 - 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Idea of the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/929,211 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 3, 5, 7 13 and 15 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent number 6,847,620 to Meier.

With regard to claim 1, Meier teaches joining a multicast group (col 5, 133) in, for example, an IP network (col 2, line 42). Meier also teaches detecting a leave message (col 5 line 41) wherein, in response to the leave message (ie, after the stations have been deleted from the group) the stations must rejoin the group (col 5 line 43). Although it is not explicitly stated in col 5 line 43 that a "join message" is sent, such a message type is mentioned in, as noted above, col 5 lines 33+, such that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used such a "join message" when joining the multicast group.

With regard to claims 2 - 3, see members "STA" in figure 1.

With regard to claim 5, see the mention of 802.11 in col 2 line 13.

With regard to claim 7, the examiner notes that audio and video are typical types of data which would be carried over a network such as this.

With regard to claim 8, the stations monitor for the leave messages.

With regard to claim 9, see the rejection of claim 1 above, where all of the claim elements are met, including the GARP applicant (col 5 line 34).

With regard to claims 10, 11, and 12, see the rejection of claims 4, 8, and 2 respectively.

With regard to claim 13, see the rejection of claim 1 above, and note the stations in col 5 lines 43+ for which it would be obvious to make use of software in the form of a "manager" to detecing leave messages and sending join messages.

With regard to claims 15 - 16, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

3. Claims 4, 6, 14, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvunpatentable over U.S. patent number 6,847,620 to Meier as applied to claims 2 – 3, 5, 7 - 13 and 15 above, and further in view of the applicants admitted prior art (hereinafter AAPA).

With regard to claim 4, Meier teaches the invention as described above with respect to claim 1, but does not teach the use of the IGMP protocol to implement the leave and join messaging.

AAPA teaches the use of IGMP being used in this manner on page 2, lines 9+.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the IGMP protocol to implement the joining and leaving of the multicast group of Meier, in light of the teachings of AAPA, in order to provide a standard means for effectively maintaining membership in this group.

With regard to claim 6, fast-leave is discussed in page 2, line 24.

With regard to claims 14 and 17, see the rejection of claims 4 and 6 above.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Blount whose telephone number is 571 - 272 - 3071. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:30.

Art Unit: 2661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Chau Nguyen, can be reached on 571 - 272 - 3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ajit Patel
Primary Examine

SB 8/1/05